

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/511,148	12/02/2004	Alan Michael Sawyer	2004_1542A	9045		
513 WENDEROT	7590 08/05/200 H, LIND & PONACK,	EXAM	EXAMINER			
2033 K STREET N. W.			SANG,	SANG, HONG		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20006-1021	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	71, DC 20000 1021	1643				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			08/05/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/511,148	SAWYER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HONG SANG	1643		

	HONG SANG	1643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WI MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains the investment of the proposed to the the prop	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause			
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issue appeal; and/or 						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 						
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to and 27.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16,17 and 20-26.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

/Christopher H Yaen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643 Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant's amendments to add limitations to claims 1 and 27 and new claims 28-31 require further consideration. The limitations "purified proteinaceous substances, purified non-proteinaceous substances linked to a carrier, purified non-proteinaceous substances linked to a carrier, purified non-proteinaceous substances linked to a carrier, and fragments thereof "recited in claims 1 and 27, and new claims 28-31 have not been considered in the previous office actions. Because the amendment requires new consideration and search, the amendment is not entered.

It is noted that the reasons that the prior art "purified cells" read on the instant "purified antigens" were explicitly set forth in the previous office action mailed on 2/14/2008 (please see page 4 last paragraph).